

**To:** Burgo, Daniel[Burgo.Daniel@epa.gov]; Smith, Terry[Smith.Terry@epa.gov]; John.Lucotch@WestonSolutions.com[John.Lucotch@WestonSolutions.com]; Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com[Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com]; Delgado, Eric[Delgado.Eric@epa.gov]; Schaefer, Joe[Schaefer.Joe@epa.gov]; Brad.Morgan@WestonSolutions.com[Brad.Morgan@WestonSolutions.com]; Rauscher, Jon[Rauscher.Jon@epa.gov]; EOC Environmental Unit[EOC\_Environmental\_Unit@epa.gov]; kris.fuller@westonsolutions.com[kris.fuller@westonsolutions.com]; Atencio, Kathie[Atencio.Kathie@epa.gov]; Ruhl, Christopher[Ruhl.Christopher@epa.gov]; Bernier, Roberto[bernier.roberto@epa.gov]; Harrison, Melissa[Harrison.Melissa@epa.gov]; Grantham, Nancy[Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov]  
**From:** Loesel, Matthew  
**Sent:** Sun 8/16/2015 11:20:17 PM  
**Subject:** RE: R6 Validated Data that is not on EPA.gov Gold King Mine Site

Thank you, I agree with your list. The two samples from the 6<sup>th</sup> we will include in our next load. The sediment data was tested for total metals only and per our EU we needed to look at dissolved only, we may add these when we get the data for next few days with the dissolved. I will discuss this with EU and Mgmt.

Matt Loesel

R6 Planning Section Chief

US EPA – Region 6

817-271-4586

**From:** Burgo, Daniel  
**Sent:** Sunday, August 16, 2015 6:16 PM  
**To:** Smith, Terry; John.Lucotch@WestonSolutions.com; Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com; Delgado, Eric; Schaefer, Joe; Brad.Morgan@WestonSolutions.com; Loesel, Matthew; Rauscher, Jon; EOC Environmental Unit; kris.fuller@westonsolutions.com; Atencio, Kathie; Ruhl, Christopher; Bernier, Roberto; Harrison, Melissa; Grantham, Nancy  
**Subject:** R6 Validated Data that is not on EPA.gov Gold King Mine Site

All,

I ran through the data that is posted (I included tonight's -8/11 SW) and have identified the samples that are validated in the combined Scribe database but not on the EPA.gov GKM site. A few points about this spread sheet:

- Comparison is of *All validated samples as of 20150815.xls* from Janine at 0600 at 8/16/15 compared to what was published as of 1200 8/16/15
- Red highlighted samples are not published on the .gov website
- Yellow highlights are published but appear to duplicated within the published file
- Headers are correct- please sort by region and matrix

My two cents on the To do(s):

- Query and export red highlighted samples out of Scribe possibly by ( Kris?)
- Lucotch, could we develop trend lines from SW so EULs could base their message off of them
- Use the template attached and then Send to Terry Smith and cc Kathie Atencio for QCing
- Pass along data and potentially trend lines to ICP EULs to develop a message if it appears to be different from the current message
  - Please check with ICP PIOs- AC PIOs are cc'ed on this email
- Terry, if you would like to trust but verify my comparison I think that makes the most sense
- DT Members if you want to add anything please feel free

Please forward to whomever you see fit. If there is any clarifying information about this please reply all to maintain situational awareness.

*The overall message from AC PIO and Area Commander is that all of this data needs to be published.*

Regards,

OSC Dan Burgo  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
5 Post Office Square  
Suite 100 (OSRR02-2)  
Boston, MA 02109

(617) 918-1052 Desk  
(617) 918-0052 Fax